The information obtained from this questionnaire will be utilized to evaluate the past performance of Offerors making proposals on Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) RFP number SP0700-99-R-7004.

1. Purpose of Questionnaire

The Defense Supply Center, Columbus is soliciting	g information about the capabilities and
performance of	_ to assist in considering this firm for DLA
work. DLA is a combat support agency with a pri	mary mission of providing fuels, supplies, and
services to American military forces worldwide.	This includes the management of over four
million consumable items, the processing of more	than 30 million annual distribution actions, and
the administration of over \$900 billion dollars of I	DoD other agency contracts.

Please return this questionnaire via mail, fax, email or courier, to Defense Supply Center Columbus, Attn: A76 Contract Support Office, DSCC-DR, P.O. Box 3990, Columbus, OH, 43216-5000 **OR** fax to (614) 692-6273 **OR** email defense_depots@dscc.dla.mil **OR** Courier Service to 3990 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43213 by close of business, June 18, 1999. If you have any questions on the information requirements, please contact DSCC-DR at (614) 692-2258.

2. Questionnaire Instructions

The questionnaire cove	rs several topics	designed to prov	ide basic	information	regardin	g
	background	and capabilities	and your	satisfaction	with their	ir
performance.	_	_	-			

The format of the questionnaire follows a five-point scale with 5 being Exceptional, and 1 being Unsatisfactory. Exceptional is defined as performance meets all contractual requirements and exceeds many. Satisfactory is defined as performance, which meets contractual requirements. Unsatisfactory is defined as performance that does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner.

Please circle the appropriate response.

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

I. <u>CONTRACT IDENTIFICATION</u>

Evaluator/Company/ Agency Name:	
Purchase Order Number:	
Evaluator/Company/Agency Point of Contact:	,
Length of Contract:	
Dates of Performance:	
Initial Contract Cost:	
Current/Final Contract Cost	:
Description of Product and/o	or Service Provided:
Please list the facilities the c	ontractor operated in:
II. EVALUATOR'S O Company/ Organization Name/A	
Company/Organization Descripti	ion:
	ENTIFICATION (this information shall not be released)
Evaluator Signature:	
Evaluator Voice and FAX N	Jumbers:
Evaluator E-Mail:	

^{**} $\underline{\text{Evaluator}}$: Please circle one response for each question on the following pages and provide comments as appropriate**.

IV. EXPERIENCE INTERFACING WITH MULTIPLE CUSTOMERS

1.	How would you rate this con	ractor's ability	to perform	physical	distribution	for a
minimu	um of 50 customers?					

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
_	4	2	2	1
5	4	3	2	1
Comments				
2. How wou	ld vou rote this co	ntractor's performer	oo in making the	transition of
		ntractor's performar		
operations – fror	n public to private	sector – "invisible"	(not disruptive) t	o multiple
-	in Permite to Private	200001 211122210	(if or also apervo) o	o munipro
customers?				
customers? Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactor
	Very Good	Satisfactory 3	Marginal 2	Unsatisfactor
Exceptional	•		C	
Exceptional 5	4		2	
Exceptional 5	4	3	2	
Exceptional 5	4	3	2	
Exceptional 5	4	3	2	
Exceptional 5	4	3	2	
Exceptional 5	4	3	2	
Exceptional 5 Comments	4	3	2	1
Exceptional 5 Comments 3. How would y	ou rate this contractor's	performance in transition	2	1
Exceptional 5 Comments 3. How would y	4	performance in transition	2	1
Exceptional 5 Comments 3. How would y	ou rate this contractor's	performance in transition	2	1

3

5. How long before this contractor's performance measured up to your company's full performance standard?

How long was the transition period? ______.

5

V. OPERATION OF PROPRIETARY DATA SYSTEMS

6. How would you rate this contractor's ability to operate your data systems?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

7. How would you rate the contractor's effort in enhancing performance/ productivity using state-of-the-art automation?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

VI. <u>ABILITY TO MEET CUSTOMER [S] REQUESTS FOR SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE TIMEFRAMES</u>

8. To what extent did the contractor adhere to contract performance schedules?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

9. How would you rate the contractor's performance in submitting required reports and documentation in a timely manner?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

10. Did the contractor display initiative in meeting requirements?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
Initiative	Initiative	Initiative	Initiative	Initiative
5	4	3	2	1

11. What level of training do you feel the contractor's staff received?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory

5	4	3	2	1
3	7	3	2	1

12. How would you rate changes in the contractor's support/performance during the term of the contract

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

13. How would you rate the contractor's performance in providing timely assistance or resolution, on- or off-site, when responding to problems identified by customers?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

VII. PERFORMANCE HISTORY

14. How would you rate this company's overall performance on this contract?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

15. Were the contractor's reports and documentation accurate and complete?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

16. To what extent was the contractor's problem tracking/reporting documentation timely, accurate and of appropriate content?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

17. Did the contractor commit adequate resources in a timely fashion to the contract in order to meet the requirements and to successfully solve problems?

Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsati	sfactory
--	----------

Past Performance Questionnaire for RFP

2

5	4		3		2		1		
 10 7011									
18. Did the contractor deploy skilled resources capable of executing requirements within and outside the scope of performance?									
 <u></u>									

3

19. To what extent did this contractor respond positively and promptly to technical directions, contract change orders, unique task orders, etc.?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

20. To what extent did this contractor submit change orders and other required proposals in a timely manner?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

21. How would you rate this contractor's safety program and safety documentation?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

22. Was performance executed at contractor-owned facilities?

No	Yes

23. Was performance executed at company-owned facilities?

No	Yes

24. How would you rate the contractor performance during transition period, i.e., from initial sign-on to full performance?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

Has this contractor been the cause of any disruption in your operations? If so, explain the cause and extent of disruption.
r
Have you experienced any strikes or natural disasters during this contractor's mance? If so, please explain, including the contractor's performance during these ons.

VIII. RECEIVING, WAREHOUSING AND DISPOSAL OPERATIONS

27. How would you rate this contractor's safety awareness/performance?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

28. How would you rate the contractor's compliance with hazardous materiel regulations and provisions at the state, local and federal level?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

29. How would you rate this contractor's ability to plan and cope with surge requirements?

	Exceptional		Very Good		Satisfactory		Marginal		Unsatisfactory
--	-------------	--	-----------	--	--------------	--	----------	--	----------------

5	1	3	2	1
3	+	3	<u> </u>	1

30. To what extent was the contractor's inventories accurate?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
Accuracy of	Accuracy of	Accuracy of	Accuracy of	Accuracy of
99%-100%	95%-98%	90% -94%	76%-89%	Less than 75%
5	4	3	2	1

31. How would you rate this contractor's performance in receipt of inbound material to include offloading, tallying, inspecting, handling of materiel, as well as documentation?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

32. How would you rate this contractor's ability of identify, locate and pull stock set forth in customer issue requirements?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfac	tory Marginal	Unsatisfa	actory
5	4	3	2	1	

33. Were there multiple property locations involved?

No	Yes

34. How would you rate this contractor's ability to store material in a condition ready for issue?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

35. How would you rate this contractor's skills in packaging and packing as required by type of material?

11					
	Eveentionel	Vory Cood	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
	Exceptional	Very Good	Saustaciuty	Marginal	Ulisaustacioi v

5	4	3	2	1

36. How do you rate this contractor's quality control deployed in relation to:

A. Safety of personnel

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

B. Handling of material

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

C. Facilities

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

D. Operations

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

IX. <u>CUSTOMER SATISFACTION</u>.

37. Was the contractor able to solve contract performance problems without extensive guidance from your organization?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

38. To what extent was the contractor professional and courteous with your organization's staff?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

39. To what extent was the contractor flexible and accommodating with your organization?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

40. Would you hire this contractor again?

Νο	Vac
INO	ies

41. Has this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience?

No	Yes	Default
		Convenience

If yes, please explain and indicate status:

42. Are there any pending terminations?

No	Yes	
----	-----	--

If yes, please explain and indicate status:

43. Have any show cause or cure notices been issued?

No	Yes
----	-----

44. To what extent was the contractor effective interfacing with your corporate staff?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfact	tory Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

X. ABILITY TO MANAGE CONTRACT

45. Did the contractor provide for effective overall contract management?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

46. Did the contractor coordinate, integrate and provide for effective subcontractor management?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

47. Do you feel the contractor's record keeping practices supported your needs?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfac	tory Marginal	l Unsatisfactor	ry
5	4	3	2	1	

48. Were administrative issues resolved to your satisfaction?

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

XI <u>ENVIRONMENTAL</u>

49. Did/does the contractor's work with you include receipt/storage/shipment and disposal of Hazardous Property?

		No		Yes
--	--	----	--	-----

50. How would you rate the contractor's knowledge regarding regulations associated with Hazardous Property?

Past Performance Questionnaire for RFP

Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal	Unsatisfactory
5	4	3	2	1

XII.	GENERAL
51.	What was the basis for selection of this contractor?
52.	Is there any information you would like to provide in addition to the above questions?